



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------

09/133,856 08/13/98 JOHNSON

J 10172-9013-X

PM82/1129
KANE, DALSIMER, SULLIVAN, KURUCZ,
LEVY, EISELE AND RICHARD, LLP
711 THIRD AVE
NEW YORK NY 10017

EXAMINER

BRITTAIN, J

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3626

DATE MAILED:

11/29/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/133,856

Applicant(s)

JOHNSON, JAMES R.

Examiner

James R. Brittain

Art Unit

3626

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 September 2000.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 52-55 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 52-55 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claims _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are objected to by the Examiner.
- 11) ☒ The proposed drawing correction filed on 15 September 2000 is: a) ☒ approved b) ☐ disapproved.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119(e).

Attachment(s)

- 15) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 16) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 17) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____
- 18) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____
- 19) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 20) ☐ Other:

Art Unit: 3626

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The substitute specification filed September 15, 2000 has been entered. It is noted that the new substitute specification now states that color drawings are included. This is different from Attachment B which was the previous substitute specification. However, there are no color drawings in the file and the statement is objected to.

Drawings

Color photographs and color drawings are acceptable only for examination purposes unless a petition filed under 37 CFR 1.84(a)(2) or (b)(2) is granted permitting their use as formal drawings. In the event applicant wishes to use the drawings currently on file as formal drawings, a petition must be filed for acceptance of the photographs or color drawings as formal drawings. Any such petition must be accompanied by the appropriate fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(i), three sets of drawings or photographs, as appropriate, and an amendment to the first paragraph of the brief description of the drawings section of the specification which states:

The file of this patent contains at least one drawing executed in color. Copies of this patent with color drawing(s) will be provided by the Patent and Trademark Office upon request and payment of the necessary fee.

Color photographs will be accepted if the conditions for accepting color drawings have been satisfied.

While the specification indicates that color drawings are in the file, none are found.

The proposed drawing correction, filed on 9/15/00 have been approved.

Priority

Art Unit: 3626

The priority statement on page 1 of the substitute specification is different from what was set out before and is placed differently on page 1 than indicated in the preliminary amendment. This is indicated by applicant in his remarks filed at the same time as the substitute specification, September 15, 2000. However, the chain of priority is unclearly stated since the first paragraph of the Background of the Invention states that this application claims priority from several provisional applications and obviously it is the parent 08/899,434 which can claim priority to the provisional applications and the current application through its divisional status with the 08/899,434. This should be corrected and properly stated in the first sentence following the title as is conventional for easy reference.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 52-55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The bounds on "H-shaped" is unclear. This is illustrated by claim 53 in which a loop is formed. Obviously, the "H" is no longer maintained. It is not known how an "H-shape" is also looped. The scope is unclear. It is considered broadly. Further, since the claim has changed from an "h-shape" to an "H-shape" it is now not clear what further structure defines the mounting lip. It is considered to be a portion of the "H-shape". The thickness of the flange is between 1 and 2 mils. The interlocking fasteners 18, 20

Art Unit: 3626

are attached to the flange 14 subsequent to the formation of the flange 14 and are therefor not homogeneous with it.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 52-55, so far as definite, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Weeks (US 5,095,684).

As shown in figure 3, for example, the fastener flange 14 is considered H-shaped with a looped portion to the far left with the interlocking fastener extending orthogonally from the parallel portions of the two legs. The ends of the flange 14 which are away from the looped portion are spaced from the interlocking fastener and are considered to define a mounting lip since they along with the rest of the flange 14 are adhered to the film 12.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed September 15, 2000 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant indicates that the device of Weeks lacks a mounting lip. While the H-shape is not particularly clear as claimed, the device of Weeks is H-shaped and has a leg of the H terminating in a free end which is adhered to the film in the same manner as the remainder of the flange. It meets the meaning of being a mounting lip and thus anticipates the structure of the claim. There is nothing in the claim which prohibits the remainder of the flange from also being secured.

Art Unit: 3626

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James R. Brittain whose telephone number is 703-308-2222. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Knight can be reached on 703-308-3179. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-7687 for regular communications and 703-305-7687 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 3626

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'James R. Brittain', with a stylized flourish extending to the right.

James R. Brittain
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3626

jrb
November 28, 2000